Unit 5 SI 413 Beefed-up calculator language $run \rightarrow stmt run \mid stmt$ $stmt \rightarrow ares STOP$ $ares \rightarrow VAR ASN bres \mid bres$ $bres \rightarrow bres BOP res \mid res$ $res \rightarrow res COMP exp \mid exp$ $exp \rightarrow exp OPA term \mid term$ $term \rightarrow term OPM factor \mid factor$ $factor \rightarrow NUM \mid VAR \mid LP bres RP$ Download today's tarball and run make to get a parse tree for some string in this language. We notice that the parse tree is large and unwieldy with many

Unit 5 SI 413 Abstract Syntax Tree Consider the program x := (5 + 3) * 2; x - 7;. What should the AST for this look like?

unnecessary nodes.

Unit 5 SI 413

AST Properties

Remember, *ASTs are not about the syntax!* They *remove* syntactic details from the program, leaving only the semantics.

Typically, we show ordering (e.g. of *ares*'s in the previous example) by nesting: the last child of a statement is the next statement, or null.

Are ASTs language independent?

Static type checking

Consider the string (7 > 2) + 3; This is an error. But where should this error be identified?

Each node in the AST has a type, possibly "void".

Unit 5 SI 413 Static type checking with variables What about the string x = 6 > 3; x * 12;? We have to know the *type* of the variable *x*. Otherwise, there is no way to detect this error at compile-time. Only *statically-typed languages* allow this sort of checking. Remember, in this class *errors are a good thing!*

Unit 5 SI 413

Unit outcomes

You should know:

- What an AST is, and why we need them.
- The relationship between language, parse tree, and AST.
- How static type-checking works, at a basic level.

You should be able to:

- Draw a parse tree for a given string, given the grammar.
- Determine the AST from the parse tree. Note that there is some flexibility here!